**To what extent was Arthur Harris a war criminal for the role he played in the bombing of Dresden?**

* **Uses precise knowledge to explain what happened at Dresden.**
* **Argues how Harris can be seen as a war criminal and how he could be seen as innocent of the war criminal charge.**
* **Supports each side of the argument with precise quotes from a number of well-chosen sources.**
* **Explains how the quotes support each side of the argument.**
* **Explains that some of the pieces of evidence used can be seen as unreliable and that this affects the argument.**
* **Draws a well explained conclusion answering the question.**

**High**

* **Uses some knowledge to explain what happened at Dresden.**
* **Argues how Harris can be seen as a war criminal and how he could be seen as innocent of the war criminal charge.**
* **Supports each side of the argument with precise quotes from a number of well-chosen sources.**
* **Explains how the quotes used support each side of the argument.**
* **Draws a well explained conclusion answering the question.**

**Medium**

* **Argues how Harris can be seen as a war criminal and how he could be seen as innocent of the war criminal charge.**
* **Supports each side of the argument by referring to sources. Maybe there are no direct quotes.**
* **Limited or no explanation of how the sources support the argument.**

**Low**

* **Argues how Harris can be seen as a war criminal or that he is innocent.**
* **Little factual evidence used.**
* **Limited or no use of the sources to support the answer.**